UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/663,804	09/17/2003	Atsushi Togami	242924US2	3764	
22850 7590 05/20/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			MENBERU, BENIYAM		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2625			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/20/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/663,804	TOGAMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BENIYAM MENBERU	2625	

	BENIYAM MENBERU	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelication (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>1</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2.						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			appeal. Since a			
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	`	,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).		•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the proposed amendment (s): a fall support (s) is (something) as fall support (s).		be entered and an ex	planation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21,23 and 24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		., .,				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Mark K Zimmerman/	/Danisara Marabara					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Beniyam Menberu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant added the limitations "a scanner unit that reads image data from a document and is configured to perform background removal processing on the image data; an instruction reception unit that receives instruction information from a user on whether to perform background removal processing for the image data at the scanner unit;

wherein, the content determination unit changes a type of image processing to be performed at the image processing unit based on the instruction information from the user" in claims 1 and 24 and "reading image data from a document; receiving instruction information from a user on whether to perform background removal processing for the image data at a scanner unit, wherein, the determining the type of image processing is based on the instruction information from the user " in claims 21 and 23, which raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.